Vol. 63 • No. 11

November 2020

2

10

Solving the mmWave Antenna Challenge

MIG



Founded in 1958

mwjournal.com



MEMS Switch-Based Differential Delay Shifter for a 3.5 GHz Beam Steering Antenna

Menlo Micro Irvine, Calif.

> ntenna arrays consisting of multiple radiating elements are widely used in both defense and commercial applications. The individual elements of the antenna array are usually fed with signals of different phases to form the desired radiation pattern, generally a beam or multiple beams. The beam can be steered by controlling the phase of the signals feeding each element, and the amplitudes of the signals are often optimized to minimize sidelobe radiation.

> Cellular base stations widely use phase shifters to adjust the "down tilt" of the antenna, called remote electrical tilt (RET), which is used to optimize coverage and minimize interference. This enhances performance, such as increasing capacity, coverage and signal strength. The move toward sophisticated massive MIMO (mMIMO) solutions is an advanced form of beam steering, with the disadvantage that a separate radio is required for each antenna element. Yet significant performance gains can be made at much lower cost than mMIMO, using fewer transmitters and feeding the antenna elements with adjustable phase shifters. The growing momentum in spectrum rollout for the Citizens Broadband Radio Service (CBRS) for 4G and 5G networks will demand increasingly complex multi-array antennas with advanced beam steering to deliver the required quality of service and high data rates for cellular, enterprise and

industrial IoT applications. As these applications are very cost sensitive, an adaptable beam former fed from a single transmitter offers significant value.

This article describes a beam steering antenna for the 3.6 GHz CBRS band using MEMS switches. The design supports high-power operation and uses delay lines configured in a patent-pending differential delay shifter (DDS). Although the common term for antenna beamformers is a "phase shifter," the required network is actually a "delay shifter" to maintain the desired beam direction over a wide range of frequencies. Incorporating SP4T MEMS switches and delay lines with standard surface-mount packaging yields a highly compact and integrated form factor. This novel approach creates an all-electronic miniaturized delay shifter that can replace the large and bulky mechanical phase shifter components and motors often used for RET in traditional base station antennas. This all-electronic configuration allows for both horizontal and vertical antenna beam steering, with a significant improvement in switching speed and reliability compared to mechanical designs.

THEORY OF THE SWITCHED DDS

Consider a simple antenna array receiving a signal (see **Figure 1**). For a given angle of arrival, θ , the extra distance a signal travels to each element is 11, 12, 13 and 14, respectively. The time for the signal to travel

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission For reprints please contact the Publisher.



🖊 Fig. 1 Four-element array and incoming plane wave.

these distances is independent of frequency and is simply a function of the element spacing, the angle of arrival and the propagation velocity, which is usually the speed of light in free space. By adjusting the time delay of each element's feed for a given angle of arrival, the signals can be combined in phase at the common feed.

Although the time delay elements can be replaced with phase shifters, to ensure a constant beam angle ver-

sus frequency, the phase shifters must be adjusted for the specific frequency. This limits the operational bandwidth of the antenna; if the operating frequency is too far away from the design frequency, the direction of the antenna pattern will shift. If the angle, the beam steered by rotating the in-



cident plane around the center of the antenna, then any change in I1 is equal and opposite to the change in I4. Similarly, the changes in I2 and 13 are equal and opposite. By feeding pairs of elements symmetrically spaced around the center of the array with a differential delay shift network, these conditions are met.

In existing adjustable down tilt antennas for cellular base stations, this functionality is typically achieved using a mechanism controlled by a



2a). The evolution from this conventional electromechanical phase shifter to a switched topology is shown in Figure 2b. Using discrete, quantized steps for the phase/delay adjustment eliminates the need for large mechanical movement, with more switching steps available to give finer resolution for more accurate beam steering applications. In both topologies, the single input is fed to two antenna elements with the appropriate impedance matching, since the two element impedances appear in parallel to the input. By adjusting the phase/delay, any length added into one path is equally subtracted from the other path, giving true differential phase control.

stepper or servo motor (see *Figure*

Figure 3 shows how two of these delay shifters with different transmission line lengths may be used to feed the simple four-element array shown in Figure 1. In this case, delay shift DS1 has 3× the delay variation as DS2, since the end elements are separated by $3 \times$ the unit element spacing. Although the schematic shows a direct feed to both delay shifters, an asymmetric power divider can be used to provide amplitude weighting or tapering of the individual elements.

3.6 GHZ DDS DESIGN

A four-step DDS for the 3.6 GHz CBRS band was developed using the Menlo Micro MM5130 highpower SP4T switch. Using additional switches, this concept can be extended to eight or 16 delay steps. In this configuration, a key requirement for the switch is a series-only topology with very low parasitic

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission. MWJOURNAL.COM NOVEMBER 2020 For reprints please contact the Publisher.



▲ Fig. 3 Two differential delay shifters feeding a four-element array.

capacitance for the off ports of the switch. This ensures low loss, wideband performance, as the effect of any parasitic capacitance is minimal.

Figure 4 shows the wideband performance of the four-step de-

mum differential delay is approximately 0.16 ns, which corresponds to a propagation distance, d, of 50 mm. For an array with an element spacing, s, of 43 mm or $\lambda/2$ at 3.6 GHz,

lay shifter used for

the outer pair of elements shown in

Figure 3. The maxi-





▲ Fig. 4 Four-step delay shifter differential delay vs. frequency.

 $\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{d}{Ns}$

where N is the number of elements between the two outputs of the delay shifter. In this case, N = 3, so the beam steering angle is 21 degrees. **Figure 5** shows the simulated radiation patterns for the four-element array at ± 21 degrees. The amplitude weights on the elements was adjusted to give sidelobe levels of -24 dB, a reasonable compromise between the main beamwidth and the sidelobes.

DELAY SHIFTER DESIGN

Practical design considerations were considered when selecting the number of elements for the array, with a 4×2 array the minimum number of elements to achieve the desired beam steering (see Figure 6). This array configuration requires three types of delay shifters: one for azimuth to switch the pattern among left, boresight and right and two types for elevation. The azimuth delay shift was configured to have three beam positions, -30, 0 and +30 degrees. This is enough for the relatively wide azimuth beam created by the two elements in each row of the array.

The first elevation delay shifter controls the delay states between the two inner patch elements, while the second controls the delay state of the two outer patches. Since the distance between the two outer patch elements is 3× the distance between the two middle elements, the corresponding delay shifter was

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission. For reprints please contact the Publisher.





50 Intervale Road, Boonton, NJ 07005 Tel: 973-394-1719 • Fax: 973-394-1710

www.etiworld.com

TechnicalFeature

designed with 3× the delay shift. In total, the feed structure encompasses five delay shifters: one for azimuth, two inner pair and two outer pair for elevation. Since the selected switch is a SP4T configuration, the array design uses four delay states in the elevation/vertical plane. Four is not a hard limitation; it can be extended

using higher throw switches or several switches in parallel.

The three different types of delay shifters were designed using Keysight ADS and verified and fine-tuned using Keysight EM-Pro. A multidimensional three-port Sparameter file was exported and used in the top-level simulation. A rendering of the outer pair delay shifter simulation model in Keysight EMPro is shown in Figure 7. Figure 8 shows the outer pair delay shifter being tested. The passive components on the input port provide matching to 50 Ω , so multiple elements can be cascaded, making it relatively straightforward to connect multiple delay shifters in series to form a feed network to control the pattern and elevation.

0 -5 -10 -15 -20 -25 -30 -35 -40 -50 -10 10 30 _90 -70 -30 50 70 90

the lower right.

lines transitioned to coplanar lines

for the delay shifter layouts. Figure

9 shows the feed network with the

three types of delay shifters, the

combination of microstrip and copla-

nar waveguide and a USB control in

signed as planar patches backed with

The radiating elements were de-

Fig. 5 Simulated radiation pattern for two beam angles.





FEED NETWORK AND RADIATOR DESIGN

Reusing the layouts from the three individual delay shifters, the antenna feed board was designed to mate to the backside of the antenna radiator board. The top and bottom element of each vertical column are fed with couplers, which provides unequal power for tapering and enhancing sidelobe suppression. Equal length lines were used to properly phase the inner and outer pairs. To reduce transmission line losses, microstrip



▲ Fig. 7 Keysight EMPro 3D model of the delay shifter.

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission. For reprints please contact the Publisher.



High Frequency RF Components & Cable Assemblies



Active, passive, antenna, or interconnect products up to 220 GHz

Available for Same-Day Shipping.



TechnicalFeature

a ground plane, which provides a low profile (see *Figure 10*). The feed position and patch shape were chosen for linear polarization, with dual polarization angle coverage. Antenna efficiency is determined mainly by the losses in the substrate between the elements and the ground plane. To achieve good efficiency, a low loss PTFE with a height of 0.187 in. was selected, with a simulated efficiency of -0.3 dB. Since ground

efficiency of -0.3 de currents from the feed network transmission lines can distort the antenna radiation pattern, the antenna ground plane was separated from the feed board ground plane, which solved several other mechanical issues.

The element spacing in the array is an important design variable. Higher spacing usually

results in higher peak lobe gain but increases the undesired grating lobe when the antenna is operating at high steering angles. The clean spurious lobe beam steering range is also determined by the number of rows and columns in the array. Since the design was selected to have only two columns, together with a large azimuth steering range, a traditional $\lambda/2$ spacing was selected.

To achieve lower sidelobes in the radiation pattern, -20 dB Taylor amplitude tapering was used in the

elevation plane. With only four rows in the array, this tapering applies to the top and bottom rows, with no tapering possible across the two columns, i.e., the azimuth plane. To implement the tapering, rather than power dividers and attenuators, two couplers were used to feed the outer elements, which sig-



Fig. 8 Testing the differential delay shifter prototype.



▲ Fig. 9 Feed network with three types of delay shifters.



Fig. 10 4×2 element patch array with ground plane.



nificantly improves \land Fig. 11 Array 3D gain pattern, azimuth at boresight and the antenna system elevation +6.5°.

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission MWJOURNAL.COM NOVEMBER 2020







Fig. 12 Simulated antenna efficiency vs. 12 switching states.



▲ Fig. 13 CBRS antenna in anechoic chamber.



Fig. 14 CBRS antenna measured radiation patterns.

efficiency versus traditional methods. A simulated antenna pattern is shown in *Figure 11*, and the simulated system efficiency in all 12 beam states—three in azimuth and four in elevation—is shown in *Figure 12*. A simple USB interface controls the delay shifters steering the beam.

The antenna design was performed using Altair FEKO, a 3D electromagnetic (EM) tool which has method of moments, finite element, finite difference time domain and hybridization solver engines. The high-level design was performed using the finite array tool, which drastically reduced the simulation time and memory. The feed network was designed with Keysight Genesys using the S-parameter files for the delay shifters exported from the EMPro EM simulator. The completed feed network was then exported as a nine-port S-parameter file (one feed port and eight antenna ports) used in the antenna radiation pattern simulation in FEKO.

MEASURED PERFORMANCE

For antenna testing, a plastic 3Dprinted radome was designed with transparent windows on the front

Content is copyright protected and provided for personal use only - not for reproduction or retransmission. For reprints please contact the Publisher.

TABLE 1 PHASE SHIFTER COMPARISON				
Maximum Input Power (dBm)	>50	25	44	
Insertion Loss (dB) at Frequency (GHz)	<0.2 0.7 to 2.7	<4.5 2.3 to 3.8	<0.5 3.6	
Linearity / IP3 (dBm)	~100	47	>90	
Switching Speed	S	μs	μs	
Supply Current	1 A When Operating	~1 mA	<100 µA	
Size (mm)	150 x 150 + Stepper Motor Actuator	4 x 4	10 x 15	

GET THE PERFORMANCE YOU NEED ULTRA BROADBAND SWITCHES

- OTTO OF SOL OBED SUM-BI-ON S/4 OT SUM-BI
- SP1T to SP128T
- DC 26.5 GHz
- Reflective
- Absorptive
- Switch Matrixes
- Custom Designs

OPTIONS

- Optimized Narrow Bands
- Up to 120 dB Isolation
- High & Low Power Models
- Phase & Amplitude Matched
- Switching Speed 20 µsec max
- Custom Logic

Electrical Specifications

MODEL	FREQUENCY GHz	MAX INSERTION LOSS	V.S.W.R. MAX	
SP1T	2-18 GHz	2.3	2:1	
SP2T	2-18 GHz	2.5	2:1	
SP4T	2-18 GHz	2.8	2:1	
SP8T	2-18 GHz	4.0	2:1	
SP16T	2-18 GHz	7.0	2:1	

Absorptive • 60dB isolation • 1 µsec switching speed • + 20 dBm cw, 1 w max

Ege al G.T. Microward Inc. 15

2 Emery Avenue Randolph, NJ 07869 USA 973-361-5700 Fax: 973-361-5722 www.gtmicrowave.com e-mail: sales@gtmicrowave.com and back, which shows the interconnection of the delay shifters (see **Figure 13**). Radiation tests confirm the beam can be controlled in both azimuth and elevation in three and four steps, respectively (see **Figure 14**). The overall efficiency of the antenna system was greater than 40 percent with greater than 10 dBi gain at a beam position of +6.7 degrees. As the beam was steered to greater angles, the loss in both the delay shifters and antenna elements increased slightly, reducing efficiency.

SUMMARY

A novel DDS was designed, mimicking the traditional mechanical RET phase shifters yet using the discrete states of a high performance MEMS switch to emulate the positioning of an analog phase shifter. Implemented in a CBRS antenna yielded a highly efficient and lightweight antenna demonstrator, able to change the position of the beam within 10 µs—impossible with a mechanical phase shifter. Table 1 compares the performance of the DDS design to the mechanical and typical solidstate phase shifters. The main performance benefits of the DDS design are power handling, power consumption, IP3 and insertion loss. The broadband capability of the DDS enables it to be extended into the mmWave bands to support future beam steering antenna systems for 5G, aerospace and defense. Other planned design improvements include increasing the integration to realize simpler surface-mount designs and reduce the footprint.■

Acknowledgment

This article reflects the contributions of Christopher Mobbs, consultant; Mats Lindstrom, CEO of RF2B; and Marten Seth, a senior systems applications engineer at Menlo Micro.